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| 1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9  10  11  12  13  14  15  16  17  18  19  20  21  22  23  24  25  26  27  1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9  10  11  12  13  14  15  16  17  18  19  20  21  22  23  24  25  26  27  28  29  30  31  32  1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9  10  11  12  13  14  15  16  17  18  19 20  21  22  23  24  25  26  27  28  1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9  10  11  12  13 |  | First-Middle: Last  c/o 1234 Your Address Street  City / Town, State Republic  FIRST MIDDLE LAST, IN PRO PER  **IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF COUNTY**  **STATE OF STATE, CRIMINAL DIVISION**   |  |  | | --- | --- | | STATE OF STATE  Plaintiff,    vs.  FIRST MIDDLE LAST  Defendant.  \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | )  )  ) Case No.: Case Number  )  ) Motion and Demand for Recusal.  )  )  ) Date: Appearance Date  ) Time: Appearance Time  )  )  )  ) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |     **MOTION AND DEMAND FOR RECUSAL**  COMES NOW the above-named, First-Middle: Last, Petitioner herein, and moves to recuse Judge Judge Name from the above-entitled matter in this court of record.  Respectfully submitted,  \_\_\_\_\_\_**FIRST MIDDLE LAST**\_\_\_\_\_\_  FIRST MIDDLE LAST, Defendant in Error  By:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Dated:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  *(authorized representative)*  **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**   1. 28 U.S.C. § 455 states: 2. Any justice, judge, or magistrate judge of the United States shall disqualify himself in any proceeding in which his impartiality might reasonably be questioned. 3. He shall also disqualify himself in the following circumstances: 4. Where he has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party, or personal knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts concerning the proceeding; 5. Where in private practice he served as lawyer in the matter in controversy, or a lawyer with whom he previously practiced law   served during such association as a lawyer concerning the matter, or the judge or such lawyer has been a material witness concerning it;   1. Where he has served in governmental employment and in such capacity participated as counsel, adviser or material witness concerning the proceeding or expressed an opinion concerning the merits of the particular case in controversy; 2. He knows that he, individually or as a fiduciary, or his spouse or minor child residing in his household, has a financial interest in the subject matter in controversy or in a party to the proceeding, or any other interest that could be substantially affected by the outcome of the proceeding; 3. He or his spouse, or a person within the third degree of relationship to either of them, or the spouse of such a person: 4. Is a party to the proceeding, or an officer, director, or trustee of a party; 5. Is acting as a lawyer in the proceeding; 6. Is known by the judge to have an interest that could be substantially affected by the outcome of the proceeding; 7. Is to the judge’s knowledge likely to be a material witness in the proceeding. 8. The U.S. Supreme Court made the following ruling:  |  | | --- | | “The neutrality requirement helps to guarantee that life, liberty, or property will not be taken on the basis of an erroneous or distorted conception of the facts or the law."  From *Marshall v. Jerrico, Inc.* (446 US 238, 242, 100 S. Ct. 1610, 64 L. Ed. 2d 182 (1980)) |  1. The U.S. Supreme Court also has ruled the following:  |  | | --- | | “State courts, like federal courts, have a constitutional obligation to safeguard personal liberties and to uphold federal law.”  From *Stone v. Powell* (428 US 465, 483 n. 35, 96 S. Ct. 3037, 49 L. Ed. 2d 1067 (1976)) |  1. This motion for recusal is also made pursuant to Canons 1, 2, and 3.6(B) of the Georgia Code of Judicial Conduct. (You must look these rules up and translate   them to your state’s equivalent… THIS IS NOT HARD TO DO.)   1. Also, the above is applicable to this court pursuant to Article VI of the United States Constitution. 2. The United States Constitution also guarantees an unbiased judge who will always provide litigants with full protection of all of their natural, God-given, unalienable rights. Therefore, Petitioner respectfully demands that the above-mentioned Judge recuse himself / herself in light of this evidence detailing prior unethical and/or illegal conduct or conduct which gives Petitioner a solid pretext to believe the above-mentioned Judge cannot hear the above case in a fair and impartial manner. 3. First Middle Last, a living and self-aware man / woman, is hereby moving the above-named Judge to recuse himself / herself on the basis of a lack of impartiality to this matter and, thereby, has created a conflict of interest in this matter. The above-mentioned Judge has deliberately violated other litigants’ personal liberties in the past and/or has wantonly refused to provide due process and equal protection to all litigants before the court or has behaved in a manner inconsistent with that which is needed for full, fair, and impartial hearings. 4. See attached Exhibit 1 for evidence substantiating this Motion made by Petitioner. (OPTIONAL – Only include this if you have substantial documentation to further support your motion. Remove this line otherwise.)   Respectfully submitted,  \_\_\_\_\_\_**FIRST MIDDLE LAST**\_\_\_\_\_\_ Dated:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  FIRST MIDDLE LAST, Defendant in Error  In Pro Per,  By:  \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  First Middle Last, Attorney in Fact **/** Petitioner  --------------------------------------------- LAST PAGE ----------------------------------------- |  |